

MPA GOVERNANCE

Design and implement the appropriate legal, policy and social framework for long-term success

WHAT IS THE ISSUE?

Governance is a broad topic that encompasses the legislative and jurisdictional framework, policies, and mechanisms used to support decision-making and the rule of law in a sector of responsibility in society. Establishing effective marine resource governance requires long-term commitment to develop the formal and informal arrangements, institutional capacity, public engagement processes and **social behavior** that determines how resources are managed for present and future generations. In creating a system of authority and accountability, governance helps shape resource management planning, enforcement and development of shared social norms regarding sustainable use of the marine environment. Progressive governance models increasingly seek to combine top-down, bottom-up and market driven approaches that support effective, ecologically resilient and socially equitable MPAs.

The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) recognize four main types of governance (see table 1): centralized governance, shared governance or comanagement, locally led governance and private governance. Each is comprised of distinct yet sometimes overlapping attributes regarding decision-making processes and management designated authority. centralized or government led approach to acquiring, establishing and designating MPAs remains the traditional form of governance in many places. At the same time, innovative co-management (shared governance) agreements and locally led efforts evolving across the Wider Caribbean regularly demonstrate the benefits of devolved management and shared responsibility. In any approach, the institutional arrangements, governance capacity and level of public affect involvement all MPA design, implementation and potential for long-term success.

	Centralized	Shared governance	Locally	Private
Main attributes	Government institutions working in cooperation with each other and often in some form of stakeholder consultation	or co-management Management and joint decision-making bodies include a range of stakeholder interests, operating by collaborative engagement and informed consent	led governance Locally declared and managed areas, often occurring at a small-scale with high levels of community participation	Private sector governance led by non-profit entities (NGOs, universities or research organizations) or for-profit entities (individual landowners or businesses)
Advantages	Creates potential to consider ecological and network connectivity over large areas in designing MPAs and MPA networks May benefit from consistently dedicated financial resources, existing institutional infrastructure, and technical expertise Often easier to apply of fees structure Independent of local economic and political pressures	Sometimes evolves from a community-driven process based on collaboration, trust and ownership of process May realize community benefits and foster compliance through incorporation of stakeholder interests during early planning stages Promotes integration of the best aspects of both top down and bottom up management	Often most feasible in the context of weak institutions or strong resistance to centralized authority May evolve into comanagement structure with government authorities if desired and guided by informed consent Commonly responsive to local knowledge, resource conditions and interest in self-determination	Driven by proper incentives, the private sector may pursue protected area status, conserve resources and promoted sustainable development Private sector often has the ability to act quickly, efficiently and effectively
Challenges	Requires strong institutions and significant financial and technical resources for implementation May be difficult to align centralized goals to local socioeconomic needs and interests May reveal disagreements between and community and managers, particularly in areas with weak enforcement	At times difficult to reconcile local socioeconomic needs and interests with national and global environmental pressures National institutions may feel threatened by comanagement structures that question centralized authority Establishment of comanagement boards may be difficult without clear mandates and steady financial resources	Limited ability to address large scale influences on communities and	Sometimes generates controversy, particularly in relation to resource access or misalignment with local values and conservation efforts May have limited resources compared to governance structures with access to dedicated government funding or grants
Examples	Cozumel Reefs National Marine Park, Mexico Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary, California Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary, Florida Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Australia	Cayos Cochinos National Monument, Honduras La Caleta Marine Park, Dominican Republic. Roatan Marine Park, Honduras Soufriere Marine Management Association, St Lucia Sandy Island/Oyster Bed Marine Protected Area, Grenada	Locally Managed Marine Area Network in the Indo- Pacific: Hinatuan Bay Marine Sanctuary, Philippines Namena Marine Reserve, Fiji Nahtik Island Marine Protected Area, Pohnpei Raja Ampat Islands, Indonesia	Belize Association of Private Protected Areas: Community Baboon Sanctuary Golden Stream (Ya'ache') Monkey Bay Rio Bravo Conservation and Management Area Shipstern Nature Reserve

WHAT LESSONS MAY APPLY TO MY MARINE PROTECTED AREA?

Embrace a holistic approach. MPAs are increasingly embedded within complex governance systems such as Integrated Coastal Management, Ecosystem Based Management or Marine Spatial Planning processes. This is especially true when governments declare protected areas that include both terrestrial and marine environments. These larger frameworks tend to be more effective than uncoordinated or isolated efforts at facilitating knowledge sharing and cooperation among bodies, incorporating governing interests, utilizing science and technology, and considering the marine ecosystem as a whole, including humans. (See Belize National Protected Area Network; California Marine Life Protection Act; Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority.)

Strive to achieve clarity. A well-formulated legislative framework enhances coastal and marine policy development, management planning, law enforcement and decisionmaking. Effective governance structure requires clear objectives as well as broad understanding and acceptance of the roles and responsibilities of government agencies, designated comanagement authorities and interested parties. For marine resource managers and staff, clarity is essential to create the enabling conditions that strengthen adherence to management goals, policies and priorities over time and across changing government regimes. Ambiguity and uncertainty limit the likelihood of success. (See Integrated Coastal Management Planning in Belize.)

Cultivate leadership and political will. Effective marine resource governance requires strong leadership based on integrity and good faith actions, open and honest communication, long-

term commitment, and the presence of supportive partners across the network of government authorities, non-governmental organizations, stakeholders and community interests. Garnering early support among policymakers is critical, particularly when developing the legislative framework and any associated planning documents. Management subsequently benefits from enhanced political will, potential for dedicated funding and clear rule of law. (See Hol Chan Marine Reserve, Belize.)

Involve stakeholders early and often. Successful governance models commonly facilitate collaborative engagement among interested stakeholder groups. Remember that effective governance depends on the ability of people from different backgrounds and perspectives to understand each others needs, forge agreements and come to mutual agreement on a comprehensive vision that incorporates economic, social and environmental concerns. Initiating outreach during the early planning stages is a critical first step. Stakeholders bring valuable knowledge of the resource, help identify goals, priorities and trade offs, and assist policymakers and government planners in aligning institutional arrangements with social and cultural norms. Perhaps most importantly, effective stakeholder engagement builds trust and ownership in the process, generates support for management and improves compliance with established rules and regulations. (See Belize managed access fisheries program.)

Formalize collaborative engagement. The formal establishment of management boards, steering committees, advisory groups or other collaborative bodies helps managers secure consistent stakeholder input, promote shared

responsibility for sustainable resource use, and foster both transparency and accountability among all parties. Advisory groups generally represent a range interests working together to achieve a specific set of objectives. In some local contexts, informal collaboration naturally evolves into the establishment management board that sets the strategies, policies, and budgets that guide the daily operations of MPA staff. For centralized authorities, advisory groups allow managers to listen to, understand and incorporate public sentiment into management planning. In any case, ensure that the guiding legislative framework includes a mechanism or provision that supports collaboration. (See Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority Reef Advisory Committees and Local Marine Advisory Committees; Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Councils and Coralina's work in the Seaflower Bioshpere Reserve, Columbia.)

devolved Consider а management arrangement. A growing number of case studies demonstrate the benefits of shared marine resource governance or what is now commonly referred to as co-management. Under a co-management scenario, government agencies and stakeholder interests share responsibility and management authority. Managers and staff often interact closely with affected communities and are thus well positioned to build partnerships and foster good governance. Co-management is typically developed and implemented combination of top down (statutes, policy, enforcement) and bottom up (stakeholder engagement, informed consent) approaches. The key for any situation is to find the right mixture of both. Every context is unique and thus no single arrangement can be universally applied with success. (See Roatan Marine Park, Bay Islands, Honduras among a growing number of developing sites across the Caribbean.)

Develop open lines of communication. Trust is a critical variable for building and maintaining public support for MPAs. Developing a communication plan as early as possible helps improve understanding of the planning process and preempts any misinformation about how management may affect stakeholders. At minimum, early communication should aim to educate a wide audience on the relevant issues, identify affected and interested stakeholders, acknowledge local resource values and demonstrate the benefits of management. A parallel outreach effort may promote communication and cooperation between government agencies, and with NGOs or other organizations charged with co-management responsibilities. (See **Bonaire Marine Park**.)

Commit to building a talented management team. MPAs advance in concert with the institutional capacity and professional abilities of those responsible for management. Regular investment in professional development training builds the requisite knowledge, skills and experience of managers and staff, and by extension, the capacity of the management authority itself. Over time marine resource professionals become effective, efficient, technically skilled and legitimate in the eyes of the public. Consider sending MPA staff -managers, enforcement officers, scientists or outreach specialists -- to the annual UNEP-CEP/CaMPAM Training of Trainers Course in MPA Management.

Facilitate an adaptive, step-by-step approach. Patience and flexibility are needed to effectively monitor, understand and respond to changing circumstances, whether biophysical, social or

economic. Remember that effective management sometimes requires change as new information comes to light. Design monitoring and evaluation processes that enable an adaptive approach and be prepared for opportunities and challenges as they present themselves. Also recognize that governance models need not be set in stone. Experimenting with various structures and processes specifically to learn what works best reflects a proactive rather than reactive approach to management.

Practice principled actions. Successful marine resource governance is based on principles of transparency, accountability, inclusiveness and equity, among others. Experience demonstrates that governance led by principled action helps legitimize management authority in the public sphere, enhances trust and collaboration, and improves compliance with rules and regulations.

WHERE CAN I FIND MORE INFORMATION?

- Baldeo, R., N. Cazaubon, O. Harvey, N. Howard, C. Jeffrey, A. Joseph, O. Joseph, Z. Khan, P. McConney, S. Pascal, M. Pena, J. Placid, J. Telesford and K. Williams. 2012. "Adaptive Capacity for Marine Protected Area Governance in the Eastern Caribbean: Project Final Technical Report. MPA Governance Project." Centre for Resource Management and Environmental Studies (CERMES), UWI Cave Hill Campus, Barbados. CERMES Technical Report No. 62. 37pp.
- Borrini-Feyerabend, G., N. Dudley, T. Jaeger, B. Lassen, N. Pathak Broome, A. Phillips and T. Sandwith. 2013. "Governance of Protected Areas: From Understanding to Action." Best Practice Protected Area Guidelines Series No. 20, Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. xvi + 124pp.
- **CERMES. 2011.** "Inception Report Adaptive Capacity for Marine Protected Area Governance in the Eastern Caribbean. MPA Governance Project." Centre for Resource Management and Environmental Studies (CERMES), UWI Cave Hill Campus, Barbados. 6pp.
- Christie, P. and A.T. White. 2007. "Best Practices for Improved Governance of Coral Reef Marine Protected Areas." Coral Reef. 26:1047-1056.
- Jones, P. S., W. Qiu, and E. M. De Santo. 2011. "Governing Marine Protected Areas: Getting the Balance Right." United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.
- Lausche, Barbara. 2011. "Guidelines for Protected Areas Legislation." IUCN, Gland, Switzerland. xxvi + 370 pp.
- McCay, B. and Peter J.S. Jones. 2011. "Marine Protected Areas and the Governance of Marine

- **Ecosystems and Fisheries.**" Conservation Biology, Volume 25, Issue 6, pages 1130–1133.
- McConney, P and Maria Pena. 2013. "Capacity for (Co)Management of MPAs in the Caribbean." Centre for Resource Management and Environmental Studies, Cave Hill Campus, University of the West Indies, Barbados.
- McConney, P, R. Pomeroy and R. Mahon. 2003. "Guidelines for Coastal Resource Comanagement in the Caribbean: Communicating the Concepts that Favor Success. Caribbean Coastal Co-management Guidelines Project." Caribbean Conservation Association, Barbados. 56 pp.
- Steinberg, H., Alex Fletcher and Virginie La Vallé. 2005. "Co-management and the Buccoo Reef Management Committee." College of the Atlantic, Bar Harbor, Maine.